

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/696,001 | 10/30/2003 | Yukiko Kashiura | 244680US2 | 5661 |
| 22850 7 | 590 07/26/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | ELLIS, SUEZU Y | |
| 1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22314 | | 2878 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/26/200: | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | - Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|-----------|
| | 10/696,001 | KASHIURA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Suezu Ellis | 2878 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addres | s |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rhy (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commul BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 | · | | |
| ·— | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond | · | * | rits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-15 and 17-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | drawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes of the drawing(s) be held in abeyatection is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | • • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ents have been received. ents have been received in <i>i</i> riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stag | je |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 |) |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on September 4, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2003-312853 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on January 30, 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

Figure 1-6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification :

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On pg. 10, line 1, the word photosensor is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 16, claim language recites "an operation from outside". It is unclear as to what is being considered as outside. Is outside something other than the circuit or the photosensor device? Please clarify as to what applicant means by outside.

Claims 17-19 are indefinite due to its dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Connor et al. (US 6,455,839). Hereinafter, O'Connor et al. will be referred to as O'Connor.

With respect to claim 1, O'Connor discloses a photosensor device comprising a photodetector (104), a first amplifier (pre-amplifier - 106) and a second amplifier (switching amplifier - 108). The photodetector and first amplifier operate regardless of a trigger signal input (illumination provided by the light source). The second amplifier switches between operating and non-operating states (active or in-active phases) depending on the illumination from the light emitter (i.e. second amplifier is turned on when the light is on and off when the light is turned off) (col. 5, lines 41-48; col. 8, lines 4-6).

With respect to claim 16, O'Connor discloses a portable terminal unit (automated closure device of an automobile or door) comprising a trigger generator (light source) that generates a trigger signal (on/off illumination of the light source). O'Connor further discloses the details of the photosensor device comprising a photodetector and a first and second amplifier as described above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With respect to claim 2, prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, a first power supply voltage supplied to the photodiode, a second power supply voltage supplied to the first amplifier and a third power supply voltage supplied to the second amplifier upon receiving the trigger signal, in addition to the other limitations of the claim.

With respect to claim 10, prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, a first power supply voltage supplied to the photodiode, first amplifier and second amplifier and the photodiode and first amplifier are connected to a first terminal of a second power supply potential and the second amplifier is connected to the first terminal via a switching circuit, in addition to the other limitations of the claim.

With respect to claim 13, prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, a first power supply voltage supplied to the photodiode, first amplifier and second amplifier and the photodiode and the first amplifier connected to a first terminal to which a second power supply potential is supplied and a current which flows from the second terminal into the second amplifier is used as an output current of the photosensor device, in addition to the other limitations of the claim.

Claims not specifically mentioned are objected to due to their dependency.

Claims 17-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,001 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

With respect to claim 17, prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest a light emitting element whose brightness is adjusted according to the detection result, in addition to the other limitations of the claim.

With respect to claims 18 and 19, prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest key operations in addition to other limitations of the claim.

Telephone/Fax Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suezu Ellis whose telephone number is 571-272-2868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-7pm (Monday-Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800